

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/579,558 | 05/16/2006 | Motoji Ohmori | 2006_0739A | 3255 |
| 52349 WENDEROT | 7590 04/13/200 H. LIND & PONACK I | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| 1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503 | | | NIGH, JAMES D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | 3685 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

10/579,558 OHMORI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JAMES D. NIGH 3685

Application No.

Applicant(s)

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply | e cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF TI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no evaler SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | HIS COMMUNICATION. ent, however, may a reply be timely filed | | | | | |
| If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the app Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this co earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | dication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 20 | <u>109</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is r | non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quality | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from co | ensideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election r | requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b | objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) | be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is require | red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N | ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been | en received. | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been | en received in Application No | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru | le 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cert | ified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/C8) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | |

| U.S. Patent and | Trade | mark | Office |
|-----------------|-------|------|--------|
| PTOL-326 (| Rev. | 08- | 06) |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/579,558 Page 2

Art Unit: 3685

DETAILED ACTION

 This communication is in response to amendments and remarks filed on 2 February 2009. Claims 1-13 have been amended and are presented for examination on the merits

Response to Amendment

2. Examiner agrees to withdraw the 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph rejection.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) rejection under Hori regarding claims 1, 8 and 11 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Examiner respectfully points Applicant to paragraphs 0103-0107 of Hori. While paragraphs 0103-0104 generically recite a memory card, in paragraph 0107 Hori recites that "it is not necessary to provide the memory 1415 in the expensive tamper resistant module". The sentence immediately preceding this recitation recites that "since the data stored in memory 1415 is completely encrypted according to the structure shown in FIG. 6, a third party will not be able to reproduce the music with just the data in memory 1415". Thus Hori recites a data management unit having tamper resistance (paragraph 0106) being operable to manage license information and to ensure security of the license information and a storage unit not having tamper resistance, the storage unit being operable to hold the license information (paragraph 0103, "memory 1415 receiving and storing from data bus BS4 a portion of the reproduction information encrypted with public encryption key KPm(1) (content decryption key Kc. content ID. license ID access control information AC1.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,558 Page 3

Art Unit: 3685

reproduction circuit control information AC2), as well as receiving and storing encrypted content data (Data) Kc".

4. Applicant's argument regarding rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) have been fully considered but are not persuasive. As Applicant's argument was directed to claims 1, 8 and 11 being patentable over the prior art this argument is moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hori et al. (U.S. Patent PG Publication 2002/0184154, now U.S. Patent 7.340.055. hereinafter referred to as Hori).

Per the following claims Hori discloses

7. As per claims 1, 8 and 11

data management unit to manage license information (0058, 0082, 0085, 0104, 0136, 0177, 0199, 0204, 0210, 0215-0216, 0218, 0239, 0256) storage unit to hold license information (0104, 0136, 0177, 0199, 0204, 0210, 0215-0216, 0218, 0239, 0256) secret key unit to store secret key (0013, 0015, 0176)

Art Unit: 3685

reproduction condition management or copy subunit to encrypt license with secret key and transfer to license storage unit (0085, 0118, 0148, 0152-0153, 0158, 0169, 0229, 0231)

a data management unit having tamper resistance (0103-0106)

a storage unit not having tamper resistance (0107).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2-7, 9-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hori.

10. As per claims 2, 9 and 12

Hori explicitly discloses reproduction condition management or copy unit includes reading subunit to read license information and secret key (0069, 0070, 0072, 0085-0086, 0093, 0102, 0113-0116, 0118, 0256)

Hori explicitly discloses decryption subunit to decrypt license information with license key (0075, 0099-0100, 0103, 0132, 0134-0136, 0176-0177, 0199, 0204, 0235-0236, 0238-0239)

Hori explicitly discloses information update subunit (0074, 0077)

Hori explicitly discloses overwriting subunit encrypts license information with secret (private) kev and stores (0085, 0086, 0102, 0114, 0256)

Art Unit: 3685

Hori explicitly discloses a data management unit having tamper resistance (0103-0106)

Hori does not explicitly disclose updating the count when content is used.

However, Hori teaches a field for maintaining the count. "One of the ways in which a patent's subject matter can be proved obvious is by noting that there existed at the time of invention a known problem for which there was an obvious solution encompassed by the patent's claims", KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (U.S. 2007).

11. As per claims 3, 10 and 13

Hori explicitly discloses signature data (0222-0227, 0246)

Hori explicitly discloses signature verification (0227)

Hori explicitly discloses a correspondence table (0074, 0082, 0087, 0095, 0096, 0103, 0127-0128, 0131, 0169-0170, 0231-235)

Hori explicitly discloses a data management unit having tamper resistance (0103-0106)

Hori does not explicitly disclose applying the digital signature to the license ID and usage data. However, Hori teaches digital signatures (0222-0227, 0246) and license ID and usage data (0058, 0082, 0085, 0104, 0136, 0177, 0199, 0204, 0210, 0215-0216, 0218, 0239, 0256). "One of the ways in which a patent's subject matter can be proved obvious is by noting that there existed at the time of invention a known problem for which there was an obvious solution encompassed by the patent's claims", KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (U.S. 2007).

Art Unit: 3685

Hori does not explicitly disclose storing the digitally signed license ID and usage data. However, Hori teaches storage (0104, 0136, 0177, 0199, 0204, 0210, 0215-0216, 0218, 0239, 0256) "One of the ways in which a patent's subject matter can be proved obvious is by noting that there existed at the time of invention a known problem for which there was an obvious solution encompassed by the patent's claims", KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (U.S. 2007).

12. As per claim 4

Hori discloses an update history as a count (0074)

Hori does not explicitly disclose the act updating the history or the correspondence table. However, Hori teaches a field for updating the history (0074, 0218) and a correspondence table (0074, 0082, 0087, 0095, 0096, 0103, 0127-0128, 0131, 0169-0170, 0231-235). "One of the ways in which a patent's subject matter can be proved obvious is by noting that there existed at the time of invention a known problem for which there was an obvious solution encompassed by the patent's claims", KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (U.S. 2007).

13. As per claim 5

Hori discloses encrypted content 0011-0012, 0015-0016, 0018, 0047, 0065, 0069, 0095, 0103, 0127, 0140-0141, 0169, 0180-0182, 0186-0187, 0231, 0242-0243)

Hori discloses reproduction information includes usage information (0074, 0218)

Hori explicitly discloses reproduction information includes a content key (0065, 0069, 0071, 0074, 0082, 0087, 0094-0095, 0103, 0127-0129, 0132, 0134-0136.

Art Unit: 3685

0140-0141, 0169-0171, 0173-0174, 0176-0177, 0180-0181, 0186-0187, 0199, 0204, 0218, 0231-0233, 0235-0236, 0238-0239, 0242-0243, 0249, 0251, 0253, 0256)

14. As per claim 6

Hori discloses a reproduction condition management subunit encrypts (0069-0070, 0072, 0085-0086, 0093, 0102, 0113-0116, 0118, 0256) and transfers license (0104, 0136, 0177, 0199, 0204, 0210, 0215-0216, 0218, 0239, 0256)

Hori explicitly discloses a data management unit having tamper resistance (0103-0106)

Hori explicitly discloses a storage unit not having tamper resistance (0107).

Hori does not explicitly disclose an information judgment subunit to determine if license is new. However, Hori teaches a reception log being generated when the license is being downloaded ("or new") (0012, 0015-0016, 0018, 0105, 0137, 0147-0148, 0166-0169, 0178, 0194, 0198-0199, 0204, 0208-0210, 0215, 0219, 0240). "One of the ways in which a patent's subject matter can be proved obvious is by noting that there existed at the time of invention a known problem for which there was an obvious solution encompassed by the patent's claims", KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (U.S. 2007).

15. As per claim 7

Hori explicitly discloses data management unit is an IC card (background (0007-0008), 0011, 0019, 0049 0053, 0056, 0058, 0068-0070, 0072, 0074-0075, 0077-0080, 0086-0087, 0093-0094, 0098-0107, 0110, 0112, 0114, 0117-0126, 0129-

Art Unit: 3685

0130, 0133-0134, 0141-0143, 0148, 0150-0156, 0161-0166, 0171-0175, 0181-0182, 0187-0188, 0191, 0194-0198, 0208-0211, 0215, 0220, 0224, 0228, 0233-0234, 0237, 0243-0244, 0252, 0256-0258)

Hori explicitly discloses a data management unit having tamper resistance (0103-0106)

Hori does not explicitly disclose that the storage unit is flash memory. However, Hori teaches that the memory can be read and written to which is a characteristic of flash memory (background (0007-0008), 0011, 0019, 0049 0053, 0056, 0058, 0068-0070, 0072, 0074-0075, 0077-0080, 0086-0087, 0093-0094, 0098-0107, 0110, 0112, 0114, 0117-0126, 0129-0130, 0133-0134, 0141-0143, 0148, 0150-0156, 0161-0166, 0171-0175, 0181-0182, 0187-0188, 0191, 0194-0198, 0208-0211, 0215, 0220, 0224, 0228, 0233-0234, 0237, 0243-0244, 0252, 0256-0258)

"One of the ways in which a patent's subject matter can be proved obvious is by noting that there existed at the time of invention a known problem for which there was an obvious solution encompassed by the patent's claims", KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 82 USPQ2d 1385 (U.S. 2007).

Please note:

Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to

Art Unit: 3685

consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Applicant(s) are reminded that optional or conditional elements do not narrow the claims because they can always be omitted. See e.g. MPEP §2106 II C: "Language that suggest or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation. [Emphasis in original.]"; and *In re Johnston*, 435 F.3d 1381, 77 USPQ2d 1788, 1790 (Fed. Cir. 2006) ("As a matter of linguistic precision, optional elements do not narrow the claim because they can always be omitted.").

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/579,558

Art Unit: 3685

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES D. NIGH whose telephone number is (571)270-5486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Calvin L. Hewitt II can be reached on 571-272-6709. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JAMES D NIGH/ Examiner, Art Unit 3685

/Calvin L Hewitt II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3685